THE HON'BLE SRI JUSTICE D.S.R.VARMA
AND
THE HON'BLE SRI JUSTICE P.SWAROOP REDDY

TAKEN UP WRIT PETITION No.20174 OF 2006

Between:

Rukmini Bhai, W/o late Satish Goud, age 60 years.

...

Petitioner

AND

1.The Station House Officer, Nacharam Police Station, Nacharam, Rangareddy District and one another.

....Respondents

THE HON'BLE SRI JUSTICE D.S.R.VARMA
AND
THE HON'BLE SRI JUSTICE P.SWAROOP REDDY

TAKEN UP WRIT PETITION No.20174 OF 2006

ORAL ORDER: **(per the Hon'ble Sri Justice D.S.R.Varma)**

Heard the learned Government Pleader for Home, representing the learned Advocate General, appearing for the respondents.

2. This writ petition is taken up on the basis of a 'letter', dated 18.09.2006, sent by one Rukmini Bhai, W/o.late Satish Goud, R/o. Plot No.12, Asifnagar, Hyderabad, alleging that her son by name Ramesh Goud was illegally taken away by the Police of Nacharam Police Station on 4.9.2006 at about 3.00 PM from the house and hence she apprehends danger to his life and requested this Court to set him at liberty forthwith.

3. On notice being issued, the Inspector of Police, Nacharam Police Station, Cyberabad, filed a counter affidavit, *inter alia,* stating that the alleged detenu is accused No.1 in Crime No.176 of 2006 for the offence punishable under Section 394 IPC of Nacharam Police Station and the alleged detenu was taken into custody on 22.9.2006 basing on a complaint, dated 02.08.2002, made by one Mr. Borra Praveen Reddy, S/o. Narender Reddy, R/o. Plot No.252, VST Colony, Nacharam, and investigated into the matter. During the course of investigation, another four persons were apprehended and all the accused Nos.1 to 5, including the detenu, were produced before the III Metropolitan Magistrate, Cyberabad, at L.B. Nagar on 22.9.2006 and were remanded to judicial custody. Pursuant to the remand, the alleged detenu is now lodged in Central Prison, Cherlapally. It is further brought to the notice of this Court that the alleged detenu is involved in a similar offence in Crime No.284 of 2005 for the offence punishable under Section 394 IPC and the same

is pending trial in S.C.No.498 of 2004 on the file of IV Additional Metropolitan Sessions Judge, Ranga Reddy District.

4. Having regard to the facts and circumstances, we do not find any reason to proceed further in this Writ Petition and to keep the same pending any further.

5. Accordingly, the writ petition is closed. No order as to costs.

_____
D.S.R.VARMA, J

_____
P. SWAROOP REDDY, J

Dated: 09.10.2006
KA/KRK